

INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION

July 25, 2015

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

IBR Case Number:	CB15-0001061	Date of Injury:	04/15/1996
Claim Number:	[REDACTED]	Application Received:	06/30/2015
Claims Administrator:	[REDACTED]		
Date(s) of service:	09/10/2014		
Provider Name:	[REDACTED]		
Employee Name:	[REDACTED]		
Disputed Codes:	J7325 and 20610		

Dear [REDACTED]

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review (“IBR”) of the above workers’ compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

Final Determination: OVERTURN. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is reversed and the Claim Administrator owes the Provider additional reimbursement of \$195.00 for the review cost and \$1082.93 in additional reimbursement for a total of \$1277.93. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.

The Claim Administrator is required to reimburse the Provider a total of \$1277.93 within 45 days of the date on this letter per section 4603.2 (2a) of the California Labor Code. The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

Paul Manchester, MD, MPH
Medical Director

cc: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- Official Medical Fee Schedule
- Negotiated contracted rates:
- Red Book

HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE:** Provider is dissatisfied with denial of J7325, NDC 58468009003(Synvisc – one syringe) and reimbursement of code 20610
- Per Labor Code Section 5307 (e) (2) compounded drug products are to be billed by the pharmacy or dispensing physician at the ingredient level by National Drug Code (NDC) and quantity. The ingredient-level reimbursement shall be equal to 100 percent of the reimbursement allowed by the MEDI-CAL payment system and payment shall be based on the sum of the allowable fee for each ingredient plus a dispensing fee allowed by MEDI-CAL. If dispensed by a physician, the maximum reimbursement shall not exceed 300 percent of documented paid costs, but no more than twenty dollars above documented paid costs.
- For any pharmacy goods dispensed by a physician not subject to the above, the maximum reimbursement to a physician for pharmacy goods dispensed by the physician shall not exceed any of the following: the allowed amount in the Official Medical Fee Schedule, one hundred twenty percent of the documented paid cost to the physician, or one hundred percent of the documented paid cost to the physician plus two hundred fifty dollars.
- Claims Administrator denied NDC 58468009003 indicating on the Explanation of Review “The benefit for this service is included in the payment/allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated”

- Provider’s report documents “...we injected the patient’s knee with 6 ml of Synvisc. The patient tolerated the injection well”
- NDC 58468009003 – Synvisc One, 6ml at 8 mg/ml, 3 x 2ml doses. There are a total of 6 units per package of Synvisc One (58468009003).
- One package was used on patient’s right knee as Provider documents 48 mg given on 9/10/14 intra articular by Provider.
- The NDCs and Metric Decimal Units (MDU) were entered into the Workers’ Compensation Pharmacy Compound Prescription Calculator.
- Based on documentation reviewed, reimbursement of NDC 58468009003 is warranted.
- Provider is also dissatisfied with reimbursement of code 20610.
- Claims Administrator reimbursed \$110.93 reducing payment \$138.75 as a PPO reduction. Provider is disputing a PPO contract resides between the two parties.
- Letter dated March 16, 2009 verifies there is no contract agreement between the two.
- EOR received showing payment to Provider in the amount \$138.75.
- Based on information reviewed, no further reimbursement is recommended for 20610.

The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE: Reimbursement of code J7325, NDC 58468009003 and 20610

Date of Service: 09/10/2014						
Hospital Outpatient Services						
Service Code	Provider Billed	Plan Allowed	Dispute Amount	Units	Workers’ Comp Allowed Amt.	Notes
J7325, NDC 58468009003	\$1608.32	\$0.00	\$710.88	6	\$1082.93	DISPUTED SERVICE: Allow reimbursement \$1082.93
20610	\$792.85	\$110.93	\$138.75	1	\$249.68	DISPUTED SERVICE: No further reimbursement recommended.

Copy to:

██████████
 ██████████
 ██████████

Copy to:

██
 ██
 ██